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## Theorem (KW)

Alice has access to $p=q+r$ indistinguishable random oracles, $q$ unreliable and $r$ reliable.

- For $r=0$, Alice can simulate only an always-heads or always-tails coin.
- For $0<q \leq r$, any rational bias $\alpha$ is possible, and nothing else.
- For $q>r>0$, any algebraic probability $\alpha$ is possible, and nothing else.
- For $q=0$ and $r>0$, any bias is possible.
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## Algebraic $\alpha$ ?

Q: Why would anyone want to choose anything with irrational probabilities?
A: Shows up in applications (e.g. Nash equilibria).
Q: Why don't we just approximate by rationals?
A: If Alice simulates an $(a / b)$-biased bit, her communication with the oracles and her computation of the bit will both be linear in $\log b$. In our solution (without rational approximation), Alice's communication and computation stay constant even as her desired accuracy increases.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}(\square)+\ldots+\mathcal{P}(\vdots:))+ \\
& \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}(\bullet)+\ldots+\mathcal{P}(\vdots \vdots))
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Works as long as $q<p$.

## Multilinear Algebra
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So, $\alpha J-A$ is degenerate in the sense of Gelfand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky, and the theory of complex projective duality and stratification shows that $\alpha$ lies on a zero-dimensional variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. But positive results are more fun...

## Multilinear Algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left(\begin{array}{lll|lll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\beta^{(1)} & =\left(\left.\frac{1}{2}(-1+\sqrt{5}) \right\rvert\, \frac{1}{2}(3-\sqrt{5})\right) \\
\beta^{(2)} & =\binom{\frac{1}{2}(3-\sqrt{5})}{\frac{1}{2}(-1+\sqrt{5})} \\
\beta^{(3)} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{10}(5-\sqrt{5}) & \frac{1}{10}(5-\sqrt{5}) & \left.\frac{1}{5} \sqrt{5}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\alpha=$ ?

- Read our paper!
- Play around with our code!
- arxiv.org/abs/1009.4188

